

Prospects of graduation for least developed countries What structural change?

Patrick Guillaumont

A side event organized
by
The consortium LDC IV MONITOR

Tracking Progress and Accelerating Transformation Antalya, 27-29 May 2016



Changing meaning of graduation: from a threat to a goal

- Since Istanbul, graduation has been considered less as a threat to the development of graduating countries and more as a signal that these countries are reaching a new phase of development
- Felt as a threat from 1997 to 2011 by graduating countries (VA, CV, ML, Samoa, Eq. Gu....)
- A threat overemphasized, because benefits of membership were overestimated...and the risk to come back to the category nil
- A goal in the IPoA of "enabling half of the number of LDCs to meet the criteria for graduation by 2020"
- A goal overestimated, unreachable by 2020, but reachable later
- Paradox: graduation less felt as threat while benefits are becoming more effective



Facts and figures on graduation at the MT of IPoA

- The *impact of asymmetry* between inclusion and graduation criteria is high: At the 2015 review of the list of LDCs, among the 48 LDCs under consideration 31 were no longer meeting the three complementary inclusion criteria; 1/3 of LDCs would be eligible for inclusion ("graduation potential").
- In 2020, 10 out of the 48 LDCs of IPoA can meet the graduation criteria, instead of half set as a goal in the IPoA.
- The change brought in 2015 in the calculation of the thresholds of the two structural handicap criteria (EVI and HAI), making them absolute instead of relative criteria, has made easier to meet the graduation criteria, with three more countries found eligible a first time in 2015
- Out of the 10 countries that will have possibly met the graduation criteria by 2020, only four are likely to actually graduate during the period covered by IPoA
- Six or seven out of the 10 countries likely to have met the criteria by 2020 may meet the income-only criterion



Long term prospects and conditions for graduation

- Long-term prospects are better, in particular if LDCs are able to grow at the IPoA total income target of 7 per cent.
- But only with a 7 per cent rate of growth of income per capita, half of the 48
 Istanbul LDCs will be able to have reached the income-only per capita criterion
 by 2030.
- Graduated and graduating LDCs are so as a result of their economic growth and improvements in their levels of human capital.
- Their structural economic vulnerability, remaining high, had nearly no impact on graduation, although it has declined faster than has been the case in other LDCs
- Reduction in structural handicaps—the rationale behind LDC graduation—could be better reflected in the design of the graduation criteria.
- Reduction in structural handicaps to development is also a condition of the structural transformation recommended by IPoA

Addressing risks: « smooth transition »

- In the move from the threat to the goal: smooth transition
- Concern of the CDP since 15 years
- Matter of 2 UN GA resolutions
- 2 sides of a smooth transition
- International side, again with 2 Kinds of measures
 - postponment of the loss of some benefits (eg. EBA, EIF): transitory
- management by criteria rather than by the category, for measures that are non-binary: ODA criteria
- Domestic side: smooth ntransition is nothing else than development policy, and for LDCs graduation becomes another name for development